

Document Information

Manuscript Title AI Applications in Smart Healthcare Systems	Manuscript Id MS-2025-0147
Review Type Single Blind	Review Due Date 10 September, 2025
Recommendation  Reject	

Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine

Assessment of Dew Harvesting as a Sustainable Water Source and Air Quality Indicator: A Case Study of Dhanbad, Jharkhand, India

Journal:	<i>Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Article Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	sarode, shruti; Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University,
Keywords:	Acute Pain, Aged, Analgesics, Opioid

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

To

The Editor-in-Chief

International Journal of Environmental Sustainability & Water Resources

123 Green Research Avenue

New Delhi – 110001, India

Email: editorial.office@ijeswr-journal.org

Subject: Submission of Manuscript – *Assessment of Dew Harvesting as a Sustainable Water Source and Air Quality Indicator: A Case Study of Dhanbad, Jharkhand, India*

Dear Editor,

On behalf of my co-authors, I am pleased to submit our manuscript titled “**Assessment of Dew Harvesting as a Sustainable Water Source and Air Quality Indicator: A Case Study of Dhanbad, Jharkhand, India**” for consideration for publication in the *International Journal of Environmental Sustainability & Water Resources*.

This study investigates the feasibility of dew harvesting as a sustainable water source in a resource-constrained region and examines its potential as an indicator of air quality. The findings provide valuable insights into sustainable water management practices and environmental monitoring, which align with the scope and readership of your esteemed journal.

We confirm that this manuscript is original, has not been published previously, and is not under consideration by any other journal. All authors have read and approved the manuscript and have contributed significantly to the research work.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Suresh Pandian Elumalai

Indian Institute of Technology (ISM), Dhanbad, India

Email: **espandian@iitism.ac.in**

Co-authors:

- Sasmita Chand – **chand.sasmita@yahoo.in**
- Prasanta Kumar Rout – **routpr@nitj.ac.in**

We kindly request you to consider this manuscript for publication, and we look forward to your positive response.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Suresh Pandian Elumalai

(Corresponding Author)

Indian Institute of Technology (ISM), Dhanbad, India

Email: **espandian@iitism.ac.in**

Assessment of Dew Harvesting as a Sustainable Water Source and Air Quality

Indicator: A Case Study of Dhanbad, Jharkhand, India

Suresh Pandian Elumalai^{1*}

¹Environmental Science and Engineering Department, Indian Institute of Technology, Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad 826004, India

***Corresponding author**

E-mail: espandian@iitism.ac.in, chand.sasmita@yahoo.in, routpr@nitj.ac.in

Abstract

Dew formation takes place when atmospheric water vapor condenses on the surface which cools down due to radiation deficit. As it is a local phenomenon, its study gives information about the pollutants present in the atmosphere. Also, since dew formation is a natural phenomenon, and involves passive cooling, this can be a potential method for atmospheric water harvesting. The main intention of this present research work was collection of dew samples and their analysis for yield and for chemical composition. Dew samples were collected on a self-fabricated dew collection set up during the winter season. In total 30 dew samples were collected and analyzed for ionic constituents. The results were then compared to those of rain samples. The pH of dew was found to be in the range of 7-8.7, showing neutral to alkaline nature of dew. Ionic compositions were higher in dew than in rain. While sulfate largely contributed to the ion composition of dew, nitrate was the least contributing ions. The average concentration for sulfate and nitrate in dew were found to be 0.55 meq/L and 0.03 meq/L, respectively. The average yield of dew was found to be 0.13L/m². This yield value from the simplest of collection set up ensures that dew can be considered as the potential water source with advanced condensing material and passive/active cooling in arid and semi-arid regions.

Keywords: Dew; regional air pollution; chemical composition; dry deposition; atmospheric water

1. Introduction

Water scarcity is a global issue, and India is not spared from this global problem. Water scarcity is a scenario where demand exceeds availability and is a key determinant of water security and directly affects the health and wellbeing of humans. Owing to growing populations, burgeoning industries, resource centric lifestyles, changing agricultural patterns, and global warming, water has become a limited resource, although renewable one. Increasing population leads to extraction of more of water resources through its domestic usage and increasing industries and agricultural coverage to fulfill its demands. In contrast climate change affects the spatial distribution and timing of water availability (Greve et al., 2018). As per the report of IPCC, climate change will make the drought situation even worse, so the water scarcity issue in the arid and semi-arid regions draws highest of attention. This requires very intricate level water management, knowing the fact that freshwater resources are already imbalanced, and the situation will worsen further.

Globally, water is extracted from ground water sources (spring, well, handpump, and surface water sources (river, lake, canal, pond). Reducing freshwater resources have led to look out for alternative water sources. Desalination is the prevalently used technique for converting the saltwater of the Earth's oceans to potable ones. Global oceans covering 70% of the Earth's surface, the water reservoir for desalination is abundant, and could be a promising way to fulfill the water needs of humans. However, desalination is a costly measure. This again necessitates looking for alternative water source. The atmosphere around the Earth contains more than 12.9×10^{12} m³ of renewable water (Shafeian et al., 2022). Therefore, local water production through utilizing this atmospheric water vapor will be a logical solution to the water scarcity

1
2
3 51 problem. This atmospheric water vapor is abundantly available everywhere and is not exploited
4
5 52 much. Arid and semi-arid regions (either naturally or due to climate change impacts) can
6
7 53 consider harnessing this potential water resource from the air for freshwater production (Salehi
8
9 54 et al., 2020; Jarimi et al., 2020; *Raveesh et al., 2021*). Active air cooling, desiccant-based water
10
11 55 harvesting, fog collection, and dew water harvesting are the main atmospheric water generation
12
13 56 techniques (Tu et al., 2018; Peeters et al., 2020). Active air cooling involves cooling the air
14
15 57 below the dew point to provoke condensation. In this case, energy is required for cooling the
16
17 58 air and to supply sufficient amount of air to the cold surface (Peeters et al., 2021). Desiccant
18
19 59 based water harvesting involves a good desiccant material to capture air moisture even at low
20
21 60 relative humidity (Kim et al., 2017), where desiccant surface facilitates adsorption of water
22
23 61 vapor on a hydrophilic porous adsorbent, or absorption in a hygroscopic medium, or a
24
25 62 combination of both (*Xu et al., 2020*, Kim et al., 2018). In contrast to active air cooling and
26
27 63 desiccant-based water harvesting, fog and dew harvest rely on passive air cooling. Dew and
28
29 64 fog are naturally occurring process although the climatic conditions suitable for using these
30
31 65 concepts are few and are not much explored. Dew harvesting is best at higher humidity
32
33 66 (RH>40%), and fog harvesting is optimal during the super-saturated conditions (when super-
34
35 67 saturated conditions exist (Rao et al., 2022). Dew is formed when atmospheric water vapor is
36
37 68 condensed on a surface at a temperature below its dew point temperature (Khalil et al., 2016;
38
39 69 Beysens et al, 2007).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47 70 Dew is a unique natural process that has gained attention for a long time, as an important source
48
49 71 of water in arid and semi-arid regions. Under low wind condition and clear sky, when relative
50
51 72 humidity is high (> 70%), surfaces cool below dew point temperature, and dew formation
52
53 73 occurs. For the dew formation, relative humidity of ambient air should have a typical value of
54
55 74 more than 70%. Under this condition of RH, radiative cooling can allow the substrate to fall
56
57 75 below the dew point temperature and counterbalance the latent heat of condensation (Beysens
58
59
60

et al., 2010). Hence, sufficient moisture content in air and intensive radiative cooling are the main requirements for dew formation. Additionally, clear skies and light winds are favourable for dew formation (Baier et al., 1966). While clear skies allow for faster loss of radiation to the outer space and therefore accelerate radiative cooling, light winds brings extra moisture to the surfaces (Newton and Riley 1964).

Making changes in quality, type and orientation of the condensing surface, dew yield is maximized to meet the ever-growing water demand (Sharan et al., 2011). Dew water can be harvested using i) a passive cooling condenser, ii) solar-regenerated desiccant, and iii) water harvesting from air using active cooling condensation technology (Jarimi et al., 2020).

As dew involves ambient air surrounding the condensing surface along with the co-occurrence of dry deposition, it represents well the characteristics of regional air of the concerned site. Dew is one of the means of wet deposition. Wet and dry deposition are the processes by which gases and particles are ultimately removed from the atmosphere. While wet deposition relies on rain/dew/frost/snow etc, dry deposition is a continuous process. Wet scavenging occurs either through wet atmospheric precipitation or through occult precipitation (Kurzyca & Frankowski, 2019). Wet atmospheric precipitation involves rain and snow. Occult precipitation involves dew, fog, and frost. Scavenging of atmospheric particles and gases happens in huge quantity through rain (including both in-cloud scavenging and below cloud scavenging), but it is season specific. In contrast, the occurrence, frequency and duration of dew events are higher than those of rain (Romps, 2017), snow, fog or frost. Therefore, dew can be considered as a continuous means of wet deposition that happens during the night time. Besides removing the pollutants, dew is an important source of water, and also provides nutrients for vegetation, owing to the availability of trace elements in dew (Tian et al., 2023).

1
2
3 99 Particle scavenging through wet deposition can happen either through in-cloud scavenging or
4
5 100 below-cloud scavenging. Scavenging of different size of particles via rain or dew or frost or
6
7
8 101 snow is a part of below cloud scavenging. Scavenging ratio or washout ratio of particle gives
9
10 102 an idea about the fraction of total atmospheric particles removed by a specific wet deposition
11
12 103 component. The ability of particle to be scavenged by wet deposition depends on nature of
13
14 104 particle, size of particle, and the transformations that may involve during the scavenging of
15
16
17 105 particles. Besides, seasonal variation has been observed by some studies in the particle
18
19 106 scavenging (Sakata and Asakura 2009; Chan et al., 1986). Gas scavenging through wet
20
21 107 deposition can occur under below cloud scavenging. Depending on whether the gas is soluble
22
23
24 108 in water or not, scavenging of a specific gas takes place. Even for water soluble gases, some
25
26 109 gases are reversibly soluble, and others are irreversibly soluble. These parameters differentiate
27
28 110 the type of scavenging of gas.
29
30
31 111 The present work aims to address the importance of dew in two different ways: i) for water
32
33 112 harvesting, and ii) for ambient air quality analysis. This study will involve dew collection on a
34
35
36 113 surface with the passive cooling process and characterizing the dew water for its physico-
37
38 114 chemical properties. While the former will provide a feasibility identification of dew as a
39
40 115 potential fresh water source, the latter will give a chance to assess the ambient air quality in an
41
42
43 116 indirect manner.
44
45

46 117 **2. Experimental Procedure**

47
48
49 118 **2.1 Study area**

50
51
52 119 The study has been carried out in Dhanbad district of Jharkhand state in India. Dhanbad is one
53
54 120 of the major districts in Jharkhand and covers an area of 2861 km². As this state is known for
55
56 121 its coal mines, Dhanbad is popularly known as “Coal Capital of India”. As the area is actively
57
58
59 122 associated with coal mining for more than a century, the air in this region is prevalently
60

contaminated with particulate matter and gaseous pollutants. As per the report of Ministry of Coal, Government of India, Jharkhand has the highest measured coal and lignite resources in India (53,245.02 million tonnes). Due to prevalent active and abandoned coal mines, vehicular activities in and around the city, coal washeries, coke oven distillation units and power plants, the air in Dhanbad remains contaminated with huge load of particulate matter and gaseous pollutants. Owing to this, Dhanbad was one categorized as one of the 131 non-attainment cities in India by MoEFCC during launch of NCAO programme in 2019. Dhanbad has a hot tropical climate, with three distinct seasons, viz-summer, monsoon, and winter. The summer season is hot and dry from March–June, with maximum temperature ranging from 46 to 48 °C. Rainy season continues from July to October with the average annual rainfall recorded to be 1280 mm. The south-west monsoon lasts from July to October and this monsoon season gets N85% of the annual rainfall. The monsoon season is followed by cool and dry winter season from November to February, with lowest recorded temperature in the range of 5–7 °C.

2.2 Experimental Set-Up

The dew collection experiment was carried out in the Indian School of Mines (ISM), Dhanbad (now IIT-ISM Dhanbad) in the winter months (November to February) in the year 2014. ISM campus is situated along National Highway 32, Dhanbad, in the state of Jharkhand. The terrace selected for dew collection set-up installation was part of the Environmental Science and Engineering (ESE) department and faced the open sky. This set up involved a 1×1 metal frame, with the top sloping 30° from horizontal, which held a plywood for backing. The condensing surface made up of thermocol, covered with polythene film was fixed on the plywood. Collection accessories (gutter and hose) were also supported on the frame, as shown in Fig. 1. The dew collection set up was made free from interfering particles deposited on them by washing with distilled water every day before exposure for collection. The set up was placed at the site facing open sky at 8.00 pm and was removed at 6.00 am before sunrise.

148 **2.3 Analytical Techniques**

149 The accumulated dew water samples were carefully collected and analyzed for quantitative as
150 well as qualitative parameters. Quantitative parameter involved the yield of dew from the
151 collection set up. The dew collection method involved collecting the dew from the hose and
152 scraping out the rest on the slanting collection surface. After measuring the total yield of
153 collected dew, the sample was transferred into a beaker to measure pH, electrical conductivity
154 (EC), and total dissolved solids (TDS). The measurement of these preliminary qualitative
155 parameters was done on site only. After filtration, the samples were used for ion analysis. Four
156 major cations Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} and three major anions SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- and Cl^- were
157 analyzed based on the standard methods mentioned in APHA (2005) (the utilized methods are
158 mentioned in Table 1).

159 **2.4 Dew Yield Estimation and ambient air quality assessment**

160 Dew formation involves phase change of water vapor to liquid water. As, this is a surface
161 phenomenon, it also involves scavenging of gases as well as particles in the ambient
162 atmosphere. Dew formation in this study was facilitated by radiative cooling, and therefore did
163 not involve any alternate cooling arrangements. Therefore, limitations of radiative cooling
164 limited the dew yield as well. The scavenged gases and particles in the dew water were
165 representative of the ambient air, and therefore provided a good opportunity for understanding
166 the ambient air quality of the region as well.

167 **2.5 Neutralization factor**

168 The acidic components (SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^-) present in dew and rain, which react with basic
169 components (K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}). The function performed by cations in neutralizing the
170 acidifying components are based on the abundance or scarcity of basic components, that is
171 estimated by calculating neutralization factor (Das et al., 2005):

$$NF_X = X/[NO_3^- + SO_4^{2-}] \quad (1)$$

Where X is the cation species responsible for neutralization.

2.6 Sea contribution

The non-sea salt fraction is calculated to assess the ion contributions from sources other than the sea (anthropogenic and geogenic). The Na^+ totality considered of sea origin is both primary basis (Keene et al., 1986) and ionic concentration of sea water of this calculation. Percentage sea salt fraction (%SSF) and %NSSF are given by equations:

$$\%(SSF_X) = 100 \times \frac{(Na) \times (X_{sea}/Na_{sea})}{X} \quad (2)$$

$$\%(NSSF_X) = 100 - \%(SSF_X) \quad (3)$$

Where X denotes the ion concentration as measured in dew and rain, X_{sea} denote the ion concentration in bulk seawater, and Na_{sea} specify the Na reference ion concentration in bulk seawater.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Dew Yield

A total of 30 dew samples were collected from the self-fabricated surface. Over the three months of winter, only 30 samples were collected, as sometimes dew samples were less to be considered as sample, or was interfered by the high time rain. The average dew amount collected was 0.13 L/m^2 . Dew yield is primarily limited by the availability of cooling energy, which does not exceed 100 W/m^2 , and this limits the theoretical maximum yield of dew in the order of 0.8 L/m^2 per night. As per research of Clus et al. (2009), in practical situation, this theoretical maximum is again reduced to 0.6 L/m^2 due to heat losses with wind, cloud coverage and limited air humidity. Even though the yield obtained in this experiment is lower than the

practical theoretical maximum, this simple experiment suggests that dew water harvest can be a potential water source, with good condensing materials, and can be beneficial for arid and semi-arid regions. This kind of simple experiment can form the preliminary examination of dew yield identification in such regions. Advancement of material science and technology have now come up with new condensing surface which can maximize the yield significantly. The best example can be Black Silicon, which has a remarkable optical emissivity in the infrared region of the atmospheric window waveband (Sarkar et al., 2019). Liu et al. (2021) estimate that a yield of more than 1L/m²/night can be obtained with passive cooling. This yield is a considerable amount for drinking or irrigation purposes, specifically in the scarce water regions or regions where water pollution is prevalent in the conventional surface water and ground water sources.

3.2 Physico-chemical analysis

Dew samples collected from the self-fabricated dew collection setup were analysed for its physico-chemical parameters and the statistical summary is mentioned in Table 2. The obtained values are compared against the drinking water quality standards in India. As evident from the values shown in Table 2, the collected dew water has an ionic content well within the permissible limits. This confirms that qualitatively dew water can certainly be utilized for drinking purposes. Atmospheric water is one of the purest forms of water, as it is formed by condensation of water vapor, and the ionic content measured for dew samples collected in this study validates this. In current times, when ground water and surface water resources are shrinking due to huge water demand, atmospheric water is a silver lining which can be harnessed by various means, and one of them is through dew collection. Similar kind of study done by Nath and Yadav (2018) in New Delhi, reported higher ionic content in dew water for all the ions except potassium and sulphate. Fig. 2 (a) and (b) shows the cation and anion distribution of dew for the entire sampling period. The trend of ionic contribution for dew

samples showed high values of Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} . Higher values of Ca^{2+} would have come from geogenic sources. Lowest NO_3^- concentration can be accounted for the negligible vehicular activities during night, which mainly release nitrogen oxides for nitrate formation. So, the splitting of SO_2 in alkaline medium could be the reason behind higher values of SO_4^{2-} in samples, subsequent continuous dissolution of SO_2 (Singh et al., 2006; Nath et al., 2018). Also, under the high RH condition when dew is formed, heterogeneous oxidation of SO_2 takes place with alkaline particles like Ca and Mg (Khemani et al., 1989). Besides, Hunt et al. (2015), stated that the tropospheric ozone correspondingly provides a pathway for oxidation of SO_2 under aqueous environments.

Dew and rain samples collected in the months of January and February, 2014 were compared for ionic composition and are shown in Fig. 3. It was found that Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- and Cl^- contents were around 14, 27, 5, 2, 7, 8 and 15 times greater in dew in comparison in rain, respectively. Scavenging of airborne particles or gases in dew is happens over the night, so more of ambient pollutants are concentrated in dew. In contrast, same scavenging by rain is a very short-term process, so less of pollutants get trapped in rain. Higher values of soluble ions in dew when compared with rain is also reported by Muselli et al. (2021); and Xu et al. (2015), and Yadav and Kumar (2014).

3.3 pH and electrical conductivity

Dew pH values on a daily basis and their frequency distribution are depicted in Fig .4(a) and 4(b), respectively. The pH of dew reached between 7 to 8.7, with a mean value of 7.66. For rain, mean value of pH was 6.19 with maximum of 7.13 and minimum of 4.9. For comparison of dew and rain, only samples of dew and rain collected during same months were considered. This comparison found the mean pH of rain about 1.5 pH units more acidic than dew pH. Higher pH values of dew in comparison to those of rain is attributed to particles and gaseous composition at different altitudes (Jiries, 2001) at which rain and dew are formed, along with

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the difference in contact time of water with the pollutants present in atmosphere in each case (Beysens et al., 2006). It has been observed that the higher pH of dew in comparison to rain validates the previously published data (Singh et al., 2006; Yadav and Kumar, 2018).

In other hand, the EC values of dew samples for the entire sampling duration were in the range of 109.1-650 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ with a mean value of 323.29 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. Fig. 5(a) shows plot of EC against dew volume. From this graph it is evident that higher EC was found for lower volumes. As dew formation is a surface phenomenon, dew is diluted with longer dew duration time or higher dew volumes. This is supported by the reason accounted for by Beysens et al. (2006) that on the condenser surface dissolution of deposited gas and solid particles takes place. Similar result was seen in the plot [shown in Fig. 5(b)] of total dissolved ions (TDIs) versus dew yield.

3.4 Neutralization and Sea contribution

Neutralization factor determines the alkalinity or acidity of dew and rain. The high concentration of Ca^{2+} in both dew and rain indicates that it is the most prevalent neutralizing cation. NF values for Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and K^{+} are 1.86, 0.61 and 0.40 for dew and 1.27, 0.45 and 0.07 for rain, respectively. The higher NFs values for dew than those for rain are probably due to the simultaneous taking place of dry deposition when dew is formed. For calculation of SSF and NSSF contribution, the ionic concentrations are expressed in meq/L. The corresponding results are presented in Table 3, which show that NSSF value for dew is higher than rain only for K^{+} . In this study dew samples showed high SSF values for Mg^{2+} and Cl^{-} . For rain also high SSF is observed for Cl^{-} . Study carried out in New Delhi (Kumar and Yadav, 2014) has also reported high value of SSF for Cl^{-} , and low for K^{+} , Ca^{2+} , SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^{-} for both dew and rain.

Conclusions

This study involved dew collection from a self-fabricated setup for estimating the dew yield, and to consider dew as an indirect method of air pollution study. As the setup involved simplest of condensing material, the yield obtained was 0.13 L/m², which is much lower than the theoretical maximum value. Certainly, this simple experiment suggests that dew water can be regarded as a significant source of freshwater in water scarce regions. Also, with utilizing the advanced condensing materials, this yield can be maximized.

Dew water collection is less geographically dependent (Meng et al., 2022), rather, collection efficiency depends on radiative cooling. Any of the process by which this cooling can be maximized, as in case of radiative condenser or fuel powered active condensers, the dew collection efficiency can be maximized. A radiative condenser is made of materials having high emittance in the infrared region, so it cools faster than other surfaces at nighttime. In case of active dew condensers, cooling condensation or regenerative desiccation is used to cool trapped air to the dew-point temperature to condense the water vapor for collection, and thus requires energy input (Khalil et al., 2016; Jarimi et al., 2020).

Besides water scarcity, environmental pollution is another major global challenge now-a-days. Among all environmental pollution, air pollution is considered the most dynamic one, owing to role of atmospheric processes in it and the transboundary nature of air pollution. Even though, air pollution is a global issue, the pollutants emitted at the local level, are more crucial to understanding the air pollution problem. In this study, dew composition was determined in terms of water-soluble components of solid dry deposition, SO₂ and NO₂. Dew pH and ionic composition was found to be always higher when compared with those of rainwater. The high TDS in dew represented the high level of dust load in surrounding air. Although rainwater is assessed worldwide for pollutants in air, it is representative of air pollution at a large scale,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

involving ambient as well as upper atmosphere. Contrary to this, dew considers local ambient air in major. So, it can be a better method for the study of air pollution at regional level. Further studies can be done for incorporation of gaseous sources other than SO₂ and NO₂ in dew and role of dew as complementary potable water source. The limitations of this study involve lack of simultaneous measurement of ambient pollutant concentration and meteorological conditions. Further studies can include these measurements to come up with the values of scavenging ratio, and thus help in understanding the efficiency of dew in carrying out wet deposition.

Acknowledgement

All authors express their gratitude to Mr. G. Sharan for his invaluable contributions in designing the dew collection setup.

References

- APHA (2005) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater 21st edition.
- Baier, W. J. A. M. (1966). Studies on dew formation under semi-arid conditions. *Agricultural Meteorology*, 3(1-2), 103-112.
- Beysens D., Ohayon C., Muselli M., Clus O. (2006). Chemical and biological characteristics of dew and rain water in an urban coastal area (Bordeaux, France). *Atmospheric Environment*, 40, 3710-3723.
- Beysens, D., Clus, O., Mileta, M., Milimouk, I., Muselli, M., & Nikolayev, V. S. (2007). Collecting dew as a water source on small islands: the dew equipment for water project in Bisˆevo (Croatia). *Energy*, 32(6), 1032-1037.
- Chan, W. H., Tang, A. J., Chung, D. H., & Lusi, M. A. (1986). Concentration and deposition of trace metals in Ontario-1982. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 29, 373-389.
- Das R., Das S.N., Misra V.N. (2005). Chemical composition of rain water and dustfall at Bhubaneswar in the east coast of India. *Atmospheric Environment*, 39, 5908-5916.
- Greve, P., Kahil, T., Mochizuki, J., Schinko, T., Satoh, Y., Burek, P., ... & Wada, Y. (2018). Global assessment of water challenges under uncertainty in water scarcity projections. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(9), 486-494.
- Hunt, O. R., Ward, A. D., & King, M. D. (2015). Heterogeneous oxidation of nitrite anion by gas-phase ozone in an aqueous droplet levitated by laser tweezers (optical trap): is there any evidence for enhanced surface reaction?. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 17(4), 2734-2741.
- Jarimi, H., Powell, R., & Riffat, S. (2020). Review of sustainable methods for atmospheric water harvesting. *International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies*, 15(2), 253-276.
- Jiries A. (2001). Chemical composition of dew in Amman, Jordan. *Atmospheric Research*, 57-4, 261-268.

- 329 Keene W.C., Pszenny A.P., Galloway J.N., Hawley M.E., 1986. Sea salt corrections and
330 interpretations of constituent ratios in marine precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical*
331 *Research*, 91, 6647-6658.
- 332 Khalil, B., Adamowski, J., Shabbir, A., Jang, C., Rojas, M., Reilly, K., & Ozga-Zielinski, B.
333 (2016). A review: dew water collection from radiative passive collectors to recent
334 developments of active collectors. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, 2, 71-86.
- 335 Khemani L.T., Momin G.A., Naik, M.S., Rao P.S.P., Safai P.D., Singh G., Kapoor R.K.
336 (1989). Spread of acid rain over India. *Atmospheric Environment*, 23, 757–762.
- 337 Kim, H., Rao, S. R., Kapustin, E. A., Zhao, L., Yang, S., Yaghi, O. M., & Wang, E. N. (2018).
338 Adsorption-based atmospheric water harvesting device for arid climates. *Nature*
339 *communications*, 9(1), 1191.
- 340 Kurzyca, I., & Frankowski, M. (2019). Scavenging of nitrogen from the atmosphere by
341 atmospheric (Rain and Snow) and occult (Dew and Frost) precipitation: comparison of
342 urban and nonurban deposition profiles. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
343 *Biogeosciences*, 124(7), 2288-2304.
- 344 Liu, X., Trosseille, J., Mongruel, A., Marty, F., Basset, P., Laurent, J., ... & Bourouina, T.
345 (2021). Tailoring silicon for dew water harvesting panels. *IScience*, 24(7)
- 346 Meng, Y., Dang, Y., & Suib, S. L. (2022). Materials and devices for atmospheric water
347 harvesting. *Cell Reports Physical Science*, 3(7).
- 348 Muselli, M., Clus, O., Ortega, P., Milimouk, I., & Beysens, D. (2021). Physical, chemical and
349 biological characteristics of dew and rainwater during the dry season of tropical
350 islands. *Atmosphere*, 12(1), 69.
- 351 Nath, S., & Yadav, S. (2018). A comparative study on fog and dew water chemistry at New
352 Delhi, India. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, 18(1), 26-36.

- 353 Newton, O. H., & Riley, J. A. (1964). Dew in the Mississippi delta in the fall. *Monthly Weather*
 354 *Review*, 92(8), 369-373.
- 355 Peeters, R., Vanderschaeghe, H., Ronge, J., & Martens, J. A. (2021). Fresh water production
 356 from atmospheric air: Technology and innovation outlook. *Iscience*, 24(11).
- 357 Peeters, R., Vanderschaeghe, H., Rongé, J., & Martens, J. A. (2020). Energy performance and
 358 climate dependency of technologies for freshwater production from atmospheric water
 359 vapour. *Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology*, 6(8), 2016-2034.
- 360 Rao, A. K., Fix, A. J., Yang, Y. C., & Warsinger, D. M. (2022). Thermodynamic limits of
 361 atmospheric water harvesting. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 15(10), 4025-4037.
- 362 Raveesh, G., Goyal, R., & Tyagi, S. K. (2021). Advances in atmospheric water generation
 363 technologies. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 239, 114226.
- 364 Romps, D. M. (2017). Exact expression for the lifting condensation level. *Journal of the*
 365 *Atmospheric Sciences*, 74(12), 3891-3900.
- 366 Sakata, M., & Asakura, K. (2009). Factors contributing to seasonal variations in wet deposition
 367 fluxes of trace elements at sites along Japan Sea coast. *Atmospheric Environment*, 43(25),
 368 3867-3875.
- 369 Salehi, A. A., Ghannadi-Maragheh, M., Torab-Mostaedi, M., Torkaman, R., &
 370 Asadollahzadeh, M. (2020). A review on the water-energy nexus for drinking water
 371 production from humid air. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 120, 109627.
- 372 Shafeian, N., Ranjbar, A. A., & Gorji, T. B. (2022). Progress in atmospheric water generation
 373 systems: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 161, 112325.
- 374 Sharan G., Clus O., Singh S., Muselli M., Beysens D. (2011). A very large dew and rain ridge
 375 collector in the Kutch area (Gujarat, India). *Journal of hydrology*, 405-1, 171–181.

1
2
3 376 Singh S. P., Khare P., Kumari K. M., Srivastava S. S. (2006). Chemical characterization of dew
4
5 377 at a regional representative site of North-Central India. *Atmospheric Research*, 80-4,
6
7 378 239–249.
8
9
10 379 Tu, Y., Wang, R., Zhang, Y., & Wang, J. (2018). Progress and expectation of atmospheric
11
12 380 water harvesting. *Joule*, 2(8), 1452-1475.
13
14
15 381 Xu, J., Li, T., Chao, J., Wu, S., Yan, T., Li, W., ... & Wang, R. (2020). Efficient solar-driven
16
17 382 water harvesting from arid air with metal–organic frameworks modified by hygroscopic
18
19 383 salt. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 59(13), 5202-5210.
20
21
22 384 Xu, Y., Zhu, H., Tang, J., & Lin, Y. (2015). Chemical compositions of dew and scavenging of
23
24 385 particles in Changchun, China. *Advances in Meteorology*, 2015.
25
26 386 Yadav, S., & Kumar, P. (2014). Pollutant scavenging in dew water collected from an urban
27
28 387 environment and related implications. *Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health*, 7, 559-566.
29
30
31 388
32
33 389
34
35 390
36
37
38 391
39
40
41 392
42
43
44 393
45
46
47 394
48
49
50 395
51
52
53 396
54
55
56 397
57
58
59 398
60

399 List of Table with Captions

400 **Table 1.** List of methods incorporated to measure the physico-chemical properties of dew and
 401 rain

402 **Table 2.** Statistical summary of physico-chemical analysis of dew samples collected across
 403 the entire sampling duration

404 **Table 3.** SSF and NSSF for dew and rainwater

405 **Table 1.** List of methods incorporated to measure the physico-chemical properties of dew and
 406 rain

S. No.	Parameter	Involved technique
1.	pH	pH Meter
2.	EC	Conductivity meter
3.	TDS	
4.	Na ⁺	Flame Photometer
5.	K ⁺	Flame Photometer
6.	Ca ²⁺	Complexometric titration
7.	Mg ²⁺	Complexometric titration
8.	SO ₄ ²⁻	Nephelometer
9.	NO ₃ ⁻	UV-Visible Spectrophotometer
10.	Cl ⁻	Argentometric Titration

Table 2. Statistical summary of physico-chemical analysis of dew samples collected across the entire sampling duration

Parameter	Min.	Mean±SD	Max.	Indian Standard	Drinking Water Quality Standards in India (IS 10500:2012)
pH	7	7.66±0.39	8.7	6.5-9.5	6.5-9.5
EC	109.1 μS/cm	323.29±146.03 μS/cm	650 μS/cm	-	-
TDS	77.5 mg/L	231.27±106.65 mg/L	492 mg/L	500 mg/L	500 mg/L
Na ⁺	0.27 meq/L	0.37±0.04 meq/L	0.44 meq/L	180 ppm	7.83 meq/L
K ⁺	0.03 meq/L	0.10±0.07 meq/L	0.34 meq/L	-	-
Ca ²⁺	0.26 meq/L	0.43±0.23 meq/L	1.05 meq/L	-	-
Mg ²⁺	0.07 meq/L	0.13±0.04 meq/L	0.2 meq/L	30 ppm	2.46 meq/L
SO ₄ ²⁻	0.42 meq/L	0.55±0.15 meq/L	1.08 meq/L	200 ppm	4 meq/L
NO ₃ ⁻	0.02 meq/L	0.03±0.01 meq/L	0.07 meq/L	45 ppm	0.72 meq/L
Cl ⁻	0.39 meq/L	0.46±0.03 meq/L	0.51 meq/L	250 ppm	7 meq/L

Table 3. SSF and NSSF for dew and rainwater

	Sea water	Dew	Rain	Dew	Dew	Rain	Rain
Ions	ratio	ratio	ratio	%SSF	%NSSF	%SSF	%NSSF
K ⁺	0.021	0.32	0.16	9.23	90.77	12.75	87.25
Ca ²⁺	0.044	1.68	4.78	2.95	97.05	0.92	99.08
Mg ²⁺	0.23	0.42	2.5	55.51	44.49	9.2	90.8
SO ₄ ²⁻	0.125	1.58	2.92	8.63	91.37	4.27	95.73
NO ₃ ⁻	0.00002	0.11	0.17	0.02	99.98	0.01	99.99
Cl ⁻	1.166	1.2	0.32	97.45	2.25	98.95	1.05

List of Figures with Captions

Fig. 1. Self-fabricated dew collection set up

Fig. 2 Composition of (a) Cations and (b) anions of dew

Fig. 3. Distribution of cations and anions in dew and rain samples

Fig. 4. (a) Daily pH of dew samples (b) percentage frequency distribution of pH of dew

Fig. 5. Plot of (a) electrical conductivity variation with dew volume and (b) Total dissolved ions variation with dew volume



Fig. 1. Self-fabricated dew collection set up




Fig. 2 Composition of (a) Cations and (b) anions of dew




Fig. 3. Distribution of cations and anions in dew and rain samples




Fig. 4. (a) Daily pH of dew samples (b) percentage frequency distribution of pH of dew




Fig. 5. Plot of (a) electrical conductivity variation with dew volume and (b) Total dissolved ions variation with dew volume

Review Checklist

Title

☐ Is the title clear and concise, reflecting the brief nature of the study?

Abstract

☐ Does the abstract provide a short but complete overview of objectives, methods, results, and conclusions?

Introduction

☐ Is background information minimal but sufficient to set context?

Methods & Results

☐ Are essential methods described briefly yet reproducibly?

☐ Are results presented concisely without unnecessary detail?

Discussion and Conclusion

☐ Are findings discussed briefly in relation to literature?

☐ Are conclusions justified despite limited scope?

Tables and Figures

☐ Are minimal but effective visual elements used?

References

☐ Are references selective but adequate?

Responses to Editor Comments

Editor Comment : "This manuscript has been assigned for initial review."

Reviewer Reply 1 :

HFUT

Review Decision & Feedback

 Reject

Originality of the work	★ ★ ★ ★ ★ (1.0)
Methodological soundness	★ ★ ★ ★ ★ (1.0)
Clarity of presentation	★ ★ ★ ★ ★ (1.0)
Significance of findings	★ ★ ★ ★ ★ (1.0)
Relevance to journal's scope	★ ★ ★ ★ ★ (1.0)

Ethical Considerations

Is there evidence of appropriate ethics approval and/or consent?

notApplicable

Figures and Tables

Are figures/tables clear and necessary?

No

Would you like to review a revision of this manuscript?

No

Overall Comments

RYTRY